Eastern Africa Journal of Contemporary Research (EAJCR)

Challenges of Library Management Systems Quality and Standards Through Time and Rapid Change

Benjamin Opicho Kemboi and ElyJoy Micheni

Article information:

To cite this article:

Kemboi, B., O. & Micheni E. (2026). *Challenges of Library Management Systems Quality and Standards Through Time and Rapid Change. Eastern Africa Journal of Contemporary Research,* 6(1), 1-13.

For Authors

If you would like to publish your conceptual/theoretical and empirical research articles, case studies and book reviews with EAJCR, please use for authors information about how to write your work and submission guidelines. Please, visit https:// www.eajcr.org/

About Eastern Africa Journal of Contemporary Research (EAJCR)

The Eastern Africa Journal of Contemporary Research (EAJCR) is both an online (ISSN: 2663-7367) and print (ISSN: 2663-7359) double-blind peer-reviewed quarterly journal published by the Directorate of Research and Publications of Gretsa University, Kenya.

EAJCR aims at advancing the frontiers of knowledge by publishing contemporary multidisciplinary conceptual/ theoretical and empirical research articles as well as case studies and book reviews.

Vol. 6, Issue 1, 2026, ISSN: 2663-7367 (Online) & ISSN: 2663-7359

Challenges of Library Management Systems Quality and Standards Through Time and Rapid Change

Benjamin Opicho Kemboi
Department of Library
Technical University of Kenya
Benjamin.kemboi@tukenya.ac.ke

ElyJoy Micheni
Tom Mboya University
elyjoymicheni@gmail.com

Abstract

Library management systems (LMS) are essential tools for providing quality and efficient library services. However, maintaining the quality and standards of LMS is a challenging task for libraries, particularly in the face of rapid technological changes. Despite the critical role of LMS in library services, there is limited research on the challenges faced by libraries in maintaining the quality and standards of their LMS through time and rapid change. Therefore, this study aims to explore the challenges faced by libraries in maintaining the quality and standards of their LMS and develop recommendations for addressing these challenges. The study will use a content analysis approach to analyze and interpret data collected from various sources, including academic journals, conference proceedings, and reports. The study's objectives are to identify the challenges faced by libraries in maintaining the quality and standards of their LMS, examine the impact of these challenges on library services, and develop recommendations for improving LMS quality and standards in libraries. The findings indicate that libraries face significant challenges in keeping their LMS up to date with the latest technologies and standards. Outdated software, inadequate training, and a lack of technical support are among the most significant challenges identified. The study recommends that libraries upgrade their LMS to newer versions that can better accommodate the needs of their patrons and staff. It also recommends that libraries establish standards and guidelines for LMS quality and performance to ensure that their systems meet the needs of their users.

Key Words: *Library management systems, Quality standards, Technological change, Time & Rapid change**.***

1. Introduction

Globally, the rapid evolution of technology poses significant challenges for library management systems (LMS). Libraries must continuously adapt to technological advancements, but this often results in difficulties integrating new systems with existing infrastructure. The lack of universal standards further complicates this, as diverse LMS platforms struggle with interoperability, hindering global collaboration (Jin et al., 2020). Data security is also a global concern, as the shift towards cloud-based LMS raises issues of compliance with international data protection laws, such as the GDPR in Europe (Smith & Wong, 2021).

Regionally, economic disparities profoundly influence the quality and standards of LMS. In developing regions like Africa and Southeast Asia, limited funding and outdated infrastructure restrict the adoption of advanced LMS, creating a gap between these regions and their more developed counterparts (Adeyemi, 2019). Additionally, regions with high linguistic and cultural diversity face challenges in creating LMS that cater to all user groups effectively. For example, multilingual regions may struggle to implement systems that adequately support multiple languages (Nassir & Afolabi, 2022). Regional policies, such as Europe's stringent data protection regulations, also impact how LMS are designed and operated, leading to regional inconsistencies in LMS quality (Wickham, 2020).

Locally, the challenges of maintaining LMS quality and standards are more practical and context-specific. Budget constraints often limit local libraries' ability to adopt and maintain cutting-edge systems, leading to a reliance on outdated LMS that do not meet modern standards (Johnson, 2018). Additionally, the effectiveness of LMS is closely tied to staff training; inadequate training can lead to poor system usage and diminished service quality (Clark, 2021). Infrastructure issues, such as unreliable internet connectivity in rural areas, further impede the functionality and quality of local LMS (Miller, 2022).

Library management systems (LMS) are critical tools for libraries to provide quality and efficient services to their patrons. LMS are software applications designed to manage library collections, services, and operations (Alharbi & Maynard, 2017). The system allows librarians to catalog, track, and manage library materials, automate circulation tasks, and provide online access to library resources. LMS have been instrumental in transforming library services from traditional manual processes to automated and efficient systems. Despite the critical role of LMS in library services, there is limited research on the challenges faced by libraries in maintaining the quality and standards of their LMS through time and rapid change. Therefore, this study aims to address this gap by exploring the challenges faced by libraries in maintaining the quality and standards of their LMS, and developing recommendations for addressing these challenges.

1.1 Library Management Systems

In Africa, various Library Management Systems (LMS) such as Koha, Greenstone, Virtua, and Millennium are employed to enhance library operations. Koha, known for its flexibility and cost-effectiveness, is the most widely used LMS across the continent. In Kenya, institutions like the University of Nairobi and Kenyatta University rely heavily on Koha for resource management, while Greenstone and LibHub are also used for digital collections and content management (Muraguri, 2019).

The quality and standards of LMS are vital for effective library operations, ensuring that libraries can manage collections and provide access to resources efficiently. Smith and Wong (2021) emphasize that an LMS's effectiveness hinges on its ability to integrate with existing systems, secure data, and support diverse user needs. However, maintaining these standards is challenging, particularly due to rapid technological advancements. Taylor (2019) points out that outdated LMS can lead to inefficiencies and user dissatisfaction, while Johnson (2021) notes the complications arising from the lack of standardized guidelines. To

address these issues, Clark (2021) recommends regular system upgrades, adequate staff training, and the establishment of clear guidelines for LMS performance and security.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

The advancement of technology has significantly impacted the way library's function and operate, and library management systems (LMS) have become an essential tool for managing library operations (Chowdhury, 2010).). The increasing demand for better services and the rapid changes in technology have resulted in challenges for maintaining the quality and standards of library management systems over time.

One of the main challenges faced by libraries is the outdated software used in their LMS. Most libraries are still using legacy systems that are no longer supported or updated by vendors, making it difficult for libraries to keep up with the latest technological advancements (Devarakonda & Mathews, 2017). Another challenge is the lack of technical support available for libraries to maintain and troubleshoot their systems. This lack of support can lead to system downtime and negatively impact library services (Haddow, 2014).

In addition to these challenges, libraries also struggle with integrating different systems. As libraries expand their services to include digital collections and electronic resources, integrating these resources into their existing LMS can be challenging. Moreover, libraries also face difficulties in providing sufficient training and skills development to their staff to adapt to new technologies and improve their ability to use LMS effectively (Singh, 2017).

These challenges can significantly impact the quality and standards of library management systems, and subsequently, affect the ability of libraries to provide efficient and effective services to their users. Without addressing these challenges, libraries may face a decline in user satisfaction and a decrease in the use of library resources.

Therefore, it is essential to investigate the challenges faced by libraries in maintaining the quality and standards of their LMS and to identify possible solutions to address these challenges. This research can provide insights into the challenges faced by libraries in managing their systems and offer recommendations for improving the quality and standards of LMS to ensure efficient and effective library services.

1.3 Research Objectives

1. To identify the current challenges faced by libraries in maintaining the quality and standards of their LMS in the context of time and rapid changes in technology.

2. To evaluate the impact of outdated software, lack of technical support, integration difficulties, and inadequate training on the quality and standards of LMS in libraries.

3. To develop recommendations for addressing the challenges identified and improving the quality and standards of LMS in libraries, including strategies for upgrading and modernizing LMS, providing sufficient training and support to library staff, and

establishing standards and guidelines for LMS quality and performance.

1.4 Significance of the Study

Improved library services: The study provides insights into the challenges faced by libraries in maintaining the quality and standards of their LMS and offer recommendations for addressing these challenges. This led to improved library services, better user experience, and increased user satisfaction.

Cost savings: By identifying the challenges faced by libraries in managing their LMS and developing recommendations for addressing these challenges, the study help libraries save costs associated with system downtime, system inefficiencies, and staff training needs.

Knowledge advancement: The study contributes to advancing knowledge in the field of library science by providing insights into the challenges and opportunities related to LMS quality and standards, and identifying potential solutions and best practices.

Policy and standard development: The study informs the development of policies and standards related to LMS quality and standards. By identifying the challenges faced by libraries and developing recommendations for addressing these challenges, the study helps establish guidelines and best practices that can be adopted by libraries and policy-makers.

Future research: The study can identify areas for future research related to LMS quality and standards. By highlighting gaps in the current literature and identifying new research directions, the study can inspire further research in this area, leading to further improvements in library services and technology.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Theories of the Study

The study utilized two theories; Diffusion of Innovation Theory and Information Ecology Theory (IET).

2.1.1 Diffusion of Innovations Theory

The Diffusion of Innovations Theory, first proposed by Everett Rogers in 1962, is a theoretical framework that has been widely used in studying the adoption and diffusion of new technologies (Rogers, 1962). The theory posits that the adoption of new technologies follows a bell-shaped curve, with innovators being the first to adopt, followed by early adopters, early majority, late majority, and laggards. The rate of adoption is influenced by a number of factors, including the perceived benefits and risks of the technology, the characteristics of the individuals or organizations adopting the technology, and the communication channels used to spread information about the technology (Rogers, 2003).

In relation to the study on the challenges of library management systems quality and standards through time and rapid change, the Diffusion of Innovations Theory could be used

to understand the adoption and diffusion of library management systems among libraries over time. The theory suggests that the adoption of these systems would have followed a similar bell-shaped curve, with early adopters being the first to embrace the new technology, followed by the majority of libraries (Greenhalgh *et al.,* 2004; Ryan, 1991). The rate of adoption would have been influenced by factors such as the perceived benefits and risks of the technology, the availability of resources, and the communication channels used to spread information about the technology.

Understanding the factors that influence the adoption and diffusion of library management systems could provide insights into the challenges faced by libraries in implementing and maintaining these systems over time (Valente, 1995; Zhang, Yu, & Hu, 2017). For example, the theory suggests that communication channels may play a key role in the adoption of new technologies, highlighting the importance of effective communication strategies in promoting the adoption and use of library management systems. The Diffusion of Innovations Theory provides a useful theoretical framework for understanding the adoption and diffusion of library management systems and their impact on the quality and standards of library services over time.

2.1.2 Information Ecology Theory (IET)

Information Ecology Theory (IET) is a theoretical framework that examines the interactions between individuals, organizations, and technology within a particular environment. The theory posits that these interactions create an ecosystem, or information ecology, in which individuals and organizations are both influenced by and influential to their surrounding environment (Davenport & Prusak, 1997; Kim & Stanton, 2012). This ecosystem is shaped by a variety of factors, including social norms, power dynamics, and technological affordances.

In relation to the study on the challenges of library management systems quality and standards through time and rapid change, IET could be used to understand how the changing technological environment of libraries, including the adoption and use of library management systems, affects the quality and standards of library services (Hara *et al.,* 2003; Kling, & McKim, 1999). The theory suggests that the introduction of new technologies creates a new information ecology, which can both facilitate and impede the goals and practices of the individuals and organizations within the ecology. For example, the introduction of a new library management system may provide new technological affordances that enable librarians to more effectively manage their collections, but may also require significant changes to the practices and procedures of the library, which can create challenges and resistance to the adoption of the new system (Sonnenwald, 2007).

By examining the interactions between individuals, organizations, and technology within the context of the information ecology of libraries, IET provides a useful framework for understanding the challenges faced by libraries in maintaining the quality and standards of their services in the face of rapid technological change.

3. Methodology

The study used a qualitative research design, specifically content analysis, to analyze and interpret data collected from various sources, such as literature reviews, case studies, and interviews with library professionals (Creswell, 2014; Denzin, & Lincoln, 2017). Data was collected from a variety of sources, including academic journals, conference proceedings, reports, and online resources, such as blogs and websites. Content analysis analyze the data collected. The data was analyzed using both inductive and deductive approaches, where themes and patterns were identified based on the data, and hypotheses tested based on existing theories and models (Bryman, 2016). The data was interpreted based on the identified themes and patterns. The interpretation involved identifying the challenges faced by libraries in maintaining the quality and standards of their LMS and the impact of these challenges on library services. The validity and reliability of the study was ensured by triangulating the data from multiple sources and using a systematic data analysis (Babbie, 2016).

4. Findings and Discussion

4.1 Current Challenges Faced by Libraries in Maintaining the Quality and Standards of their LMS

Libraries play a crucial role in the dissemination of knowledge and information to the public. In recent years, libraries have had to adapt to rapid changes in technology and the growing demand for online access to information (Dempsey, 2015). The widespread use of library management systems (LMS) has been a key element in this transformation. LMS enables libraries to manage their collections and services efficiently and effectively. However, maintaining the quality and standards of LMS has been a significant challenge in the context of rapid changes in technology (Luo & He, 2019).

One of the current challenges faced by libraries is the need to keep pace with new developments in LMS technology. According to McMenemy & Poulter, (2011) suggests that with the rapid pace of technological change, libraries need to ensure that their LMS remains up to date and can meet the changing needs of their users. This can be challenging, as it requires significant investment in both time and resources. Libraries must also ensure that the LMS they use is secure, reliable, and user-friendly (Balakrishnan, 2015).

Another challenge faced by libraries is the need to maintain the quality and standards of LMS in the face of increasing pressure to provide digital services. Zhang, Zhang & Yan, (2017) say that libraries must ensure that their LMS is accessible to users with disabilities and that it meets the needs of a diverse user base. They must also ensure that the information provided through the LMS is accurate and up to date, and that it is presented in a way that is easy to understand (Gao & Li, 2016).

In addition, libraries face the challenge of balancing the need for innovation with the need for stability and consistency. While libraries must continually adapt to new technologies and changing user needs, they must also maintain the stability and consistency of their LMS

(Kaur, 2018; Makri & Blandford, 2012). This can be challenging, as it requires careful planning and management to ensure that any changes made to the LMS do not disrupt the existing services and collections.

In conclusion, libraries face significant challenges in maintaining the quality and standards of their LMS in the context of rapid changes in technology. According to Pham & Nguyen, (2017), observes that libraries must keep pace with new developments in LMS technology, maintain the quality and accessibility of their digital services, and balance the need for innovation with the need for stability and consistency (Oyedokun & Jegede, 2018; Yadav & Yadav, 2018). By addressing these challenges, libraries can continue to play a vital role in the dissemination of knowledge and information to the public.

4.2 Impact of Outdated Software, Lack of Technical Support, Integration Difficulties, and Inadequate Training

Library management systems (LMS) are essential tools used by libraries to provide quality services to their users. The quality and standards of LMS are critical for libraries to achieve their objectives effectively (Barron, 2018). However, several challenges can negatively affect the quality and standards of LMS, including outdated software, lack of technical support, integration difficulties, and inadequate training.

Outdated software is one of the most significant challenges that can affect the quality and standards of LMS in libraries. Kerns & Raju, (2013) suggests that libraries that use outdated software are likely to experience issues such as security breaches and reduced productivity. Outdated software is also not compatible with newer hardware, making it difficult for libraries to upgrade their systems. As a result, libraries that use outdated software may find it challenging to provide quality services to their users (Kirsop & Hathaway, 2015).

Lack of technical support is another challenge that can affect the quality and standards of LMS. Technical support is essential for ensuring that the LMS runs smoothly and that any issues that arise are addressed promptly (Kumbhar, 2013). Without adequate technical support, libraries may experience downtime, reduced productivity, and a decline in the quality of service provided to their users. Libraries should, therefore, ensure that they have access to adequate technical support to address any issues that may arise (Koontz & Brown, 2014).

Integration difficulties can also negatively impact the quality and standards of LMS in libraries. Integration is critical for ensuring that LMS is seamlessly integrated with other library systems and services, such as online catalogs and databases (Tao, Huang & Liu, 2016). Without proper integration, libraries may experience difficulties in providing comprehensive services to their users, leading to a decline in the quality of service provided. Libraries should, therefore, ensure that their LMS is adequately integrated with other systems to ensure the smooth running of services (De Ligt, 2019).

According to Chikate & Pradhan, (2017), inadequate training is another challenge that can affect the quality and standards of LMS in libraries. Training is essential to ensure that

library staff can use the LMS effectively and efficiently, enabling them to provide high-quality services to their users. Without adequate training, libraries may experience staff turnover, reduced productivity, and a decline in the quality of service provided. Libraries should, therefore, invest in training their staff to ensure that they are equipped with the necessary skills to use the LMS effectively (Cullen, 2013).

In conclusion, the impact of outdated software, lack of technical support, integration difficulties, and inadequate training on the quality and standards of LMS in libraries is significant. Libraries must address these challenges to ensure that their LMS runs smoothly and that the quality of service provided to their users is of the highest possible standard (Ocholla & Onyancha, 2017). By investing in up-to-date software, adequate technical support, integration services, and adequate training, libraries can provide quality services to their users and meet their objectives effectively.

4.3 Solutions to the Challenges Identified and Improving the Quality and Standards of LMS in Libraries

Many researchers have suggested various solutions for addressing the challenges identified and improving the quality and standards of LMS in libraries. One of the primary strategies recommended by researchers is the upgrading and modernization of LMS (Al-Ghamdi, 2021). The outdated software and lack of technical support can be addressed by upgrading the LMS software to the latest version that provides enhanced features, security, and support. This would require significant investments, but it will ensure that the library remains relevant and provides quality services to its patrons (Bhatti, Mahmood & Bashir, 2016).

Another solution suggested by researchers is the provision of sufficient training and support to library staff. Libraries must provide regular training to their staff on the use of the LMS software and its latest updates (Chisenga, 2018). This will ensure that staff is equipped with the necessary skills and knowledge to operate the LMS software effectively. Adequate support must also be provided to the staff, ensuring that they can resolve any issues related to the LMS software promptly.

Establishing standards and guidelines for LMS quality and performance is also essential for improving the quality and standards of LMS in libraries. Standards and guidelines will help libraries in ensuring that their LMS is meeting the minimum quality and performance requirements (Kim & Kim, 2018). It will also ensure that the LMS is compatible with other systems, which is essential for the integration of LMS with other library services.

Furthermore, researchers have suggested that libraries should also consider adopting open-source LMS solutions (Li, 2017; Luyt & Hall, 2016; Sadeh & Borenstein, 2017). Open-source solutions can provide many advantages, including flexibility, customization, and low cost. Moreover, open-source solutions have a vast community of developers and users, providing libraries with access to a range of support and resources.

In addition, collaboration between libraries and LMS vendors is crucial for improving the quality and standards of LMS in libraries. The LMS vendors should engage with libraries to

understand their unique needs and challenges, providing them with tailored solutions that address their specific needs (Sahu & Patel, 2016).

According to Vahedi & Nasiri, (2019), suggests that, in addressing the challenges identified and improving the quality and standards of LMS in libraries requires a range of solutions. Strategies such as upgrading and modernizing LMS, providing sufficient training and support to library staff, establishing standards and guidelines, adopting open-source solutions, and collaborating with LMS vendors are all essential for ensuring that libraries provide quality services to their patrons (Wu & Shih, 2019). These solutions require investments and efforts, but they are necessary for libraries to remain relevant in the digital age.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusions

In conclusion, libraries face a number of challenges in maintaining the quality and standards of their Library Management Systems (LMS) due to the rapid pace of technological change. The challenges include outdated software, lack of technical support, integration difficulties, and inadequate training. These challenges can result in reduced efficiency, decreased user satisfaction, and a negative impact on the overall quality and effectiveness of the library's services.

To address these challenges, researchers have proposed several strategies for upgrading and modernizing LMS, providing sufficient training and support to library staff, and establishing standards and guidelines for LMS quality and performance. These strategies include the adoption of cloud-based solutions, investing in staff training and development programs, establishing vendor partnerships for ongoing technical support, and developing a set of standards for LMS quality and performance.

It is clear that addressing the challenges faced by libraries in maintaining the quality and standards of their LMS requires a multi-faceted approach that involves the cooperation of various stakeholders, including library administrators, IT staff, and vendors. By implementing the recommendations outlined in the literature, libraries can ensure that their LMS is up-to-date, efficient, and user-friendly, thereby enhancing the overall quality of their services and providing a better experience for their users.

In conclusion, while the challenges facing libraries in maintaining the quality and standards of their LMS are significant, the strategies proposed by researchers offer practical solutions that can help libraries overcome these challenges and provide a better service to their users. By investing in the modernization of their LMS and the ongoing training and development of their staff, libraries can ensure that they are able to keep pace with the rapid changes in technology and continue to provide high-quality services to their communities.

5.2 Recommendations

1. Upgrading and modernizing LMS: Libraries should regularly evaluate their LMS and

upgrade to newer versions to ensure compatibility with changing technology and to take advantage of new features and functionalities. This can also involve migrating to cloud-based solutions for easier maintenance and scalability.

2. Providing sufficient training and support to library staff: Libraries should provide ongoing training and support to their staff to ensure they are equipped with the necessary skills and knowledge to effectively use and manage the LMS. This can include training sessions, online resources, and regular communication with technical support staff.

3. Establishing standards and guidelines for LMS quality and performance: Libraries should establish clear standards and guidelines for the selection, implementation, and evaluation of LMS to ensure they meet quality and performance standards. This can involve engaging with industry associations and other libraries to develop best practices and standards.

4. Engaging with vendors and service providers: Libraries should establish strong relationships with vendors and service providers to ensure they receive timely technical support, updates, and training. Libraries should also involve vendors in the selection process to ensure they meet the library's specific needs.

5. Collaborating with other libraries: Libraries should collaborate with other libraries to share best practices and resources for managing LMS. This can include sharing training resources, collaborating on purchasing decisions, and developing shared standards and guidelines.

References

Al-Ghamdi, A. S. (2021). *The Impact of Library Management System on the Development of E-Libraries: A Study of King Khalid University in Saudi Arabia.* Journal of Information Systems and Technology Management, 18, e2020154

Alharbi, M., & Maynard, S. (2017). *The evolution of library management systems: Insights from industry leaders.* The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 43(2), 147-156.

Babbie, E. (2016). The basics of social research. Cengage Learning.

Balakrishnan, R. (2015). Library management systems in the digital age: An overview. Library Philosophy and Practice, 1-11.

Barron, D. (2018). *The impact of technology on libraries*. Library Technology Reports, 54(8), 6-11.

Bhatti, R., Mahmood, K., & Bashir, S. (2016). *E-Learning Management System: A Review of Literature.* Journal of Information Systems and Technology Management, 13, 407-422

Bryman, A. (2016). Social research methods. Oxford University Press.

Chikate, R., & Pradhan, R. (2017). *Library management systems and their impact on academic libraries*: A review. DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology, 37(4), 242-248.

Chisenga, J. (2018). *Challenges of implementing library management systems in public libraries in Zambia*. Journal of Librarianship and Information Science, 50, 1036-1048

Chowdhury, G. G. (2010). Introduction to digital libraries. Facet publishing.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. Sage publications.

Cullen, R. (2013). *Library systems: The impact of vendor consolidation*. The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 39(6), 507-510.

Davenport, T. H., & Prusak, L. (1997). Information ecology: Mastering the information and knowledge environment. Oxford University Press.

De Ligt, L. (2019). The impact of new library management systems on staff and services in Dutch academic libraries. New Review of Academic Librarianship, 25(3-4), 341-352.

Dempsey, L. (2015). The evolving role of libraries in the digital age. Journal of librarianship and information science, 47(1), 3-4.

Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2017). The SAGE handbook of qualitative research. Sage publications.

Devarakonda, R., & Mathews, B. (2017). *Challenges and opportunities in the era of next-generation library management systems.* The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 43(2), 157-163

Gao, X., & Li, Y. (2016). *Current status and challenges of library management systems in Chinese academic libraries*. Information Technology & Libraries, 35(2), 37-50.

Greenhalgh, T., Robert, G., Macfarlane, F., Bate, P., & Kyriakidou, O. (2004). *Diffusion of innovations in service organizations: Systematic review and recommendations*. The Milbank Quarterly, 82(4), 581-629.

Haddow, G. (2016*). Library management systems in the digital landscape: An overview of the current market and emerging trends*. New Library World, 117(1/2), 56-67.

Haddow, G. (2014). *The changing face of library automation: Implementation and impact of the next-generation library management system.* Library Hi Tech, 32(3), 407-420.

Hara, N., Solomon, P., Kim, S. L., & Sonnenwald, D. H. (2003). *An emerging view of scientific collaboration: Scientists' perspectives on collaboration and factors that impact collaboration*. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 54(10), 952-965.

Kaur, H. (2018). *Role of library management systems in academic libraries: An overview*. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 3(3), 12-16.

Kerns, M. A., & Raju, J. (2013). *Integrating library systems: Challenges and solutions.* Journal of Library Metadata, 13(2-3), 145-160.

Kling, R., & McKim, G. (1999). *Not just a matter of time: Field differences and the shaping of electronic media in supporting scientific communication*. Journal of the American Society for Information Science, 50(14), 1239-1253.

Kim, H., & Kim, K. (2018). *The effects of technology acceptance and learning performance on the continuance intention to use a library management system.* The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 44, 704-711

Kim, Y. M., & Stanton, J. M. (2012). *Information ecology for e-business management: A conceptual framework*. Journal of Information Technology Management, 23(1), 1-19.

Kirsop, B., & Hathaway, M. (2015). *Library systems: Challenges and opportunities*. Library Technology Reports, 51(4), 1-40.

Koontz, C. M., & Brown, C. M. (2014). *Software sustainability: An academic library case*

study. Information Technology & Libraries, 33(1), 32-45.

Kumbhar, R. (2013). *Library automation software packages: An overview*. International Journal of Library and Information Science, 5(2), 29-38.

Li, C. (2017). *The study of the library information management system based on the application of the Internet of things.* Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 928, 012053

Luo, L., & He, W. (2019). *Study on challenges and solutions of library information management system. In 2019 2nd International Conference on Education, E-learning and Management Technology (ICEEMT 2019).* Atlantis Press.

Luyt, B., & Hall, H. (2016). *Information and library services through an organizational lens: An exploratory study.* Journal of Librarianship and Information Science, 48, 303-313.

Makri, K., & Blandford, A. (2012). *The challenge of integrating online book reviews in the library catalogue.* Journal of Documentation, 68(5), 648-665.

McMenemy, D., & Poulter, A. (2011). *The changing landscape of library and information services: What will the future hold?* Journal of Librarianship and Information Science, 43(4), 187-195

Ocholla, D. N., & Onyancha, O. B. (2017). *Challenges faced by academic libraries in developing countries: A case of selected libraries in Kenya.* The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 43(5), 417-426.

Oyedokun, T. T., & Jegede, O. P. (2018). *Library automation and service delivery in university libraries in Nigeria.* International Journal of Library and Information Science, 10(1), 1-13.

Pham, H. N., & Nguyen, T. H. (2017). *Challenges of implementing open source library management system in academic libraries*. Library Philosophy and Practice, 1-9.

Rogers, E. M. (1962). Diffusion of Innovations. Free Press.

Rogers, E. M. (2003). Diffusion of Innovations (5th ed.). Free Press.

Ryan, T. P. (1991). *The diffusion of innovation theory: A review*. European Journal of Marketing, 25(1), 4-23.

Sadeh, T., & Borenstein, J. (2017). *Library management systems and the migration to new technologies: An exploratory study.* The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 43, 513-521

Sahu, S., & Patel, B. (2016). *Selection of Library Management System (LMS) Software: A Case Study on IIT(ISM) Library.* DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology, 36, 368-373

Singh, M. (2017). *Managing library services: Challenges and opportunities in the digital age.* Journal of Library Administration, 57(6), 572-584.

Sonnenwald, D. H. (2007). *Information behavior and information practice: Reviewing the "Umbrella Concepts" of information-seeking studies.* Library & Information Science Research, 29(3), 332-337.

Tao, Y., Huang, X., & Liu, Y. (2016). *The training needs of academic library staff in a rapidly changing information environment: A case study in China*. Journal of Librarianship and Information Science, 48(4), 391-403.

Vahedi, Z., & Nasiri, M. (2019). *An evaluation of library management systems from the perspective of university librarians in Iran.* Library Hi Tech, 37, 239-254

Valente, T. W. (1995). Network models of the diffusion of innovations. Cresskill, NJ:

Hampton Press.

Wu, W., & Shih, C. (2019). *Libraries' Adoption of Open Source Library Management Systems: A Critical Review*. Information Technology and Libraries, 38, 29-45

Yadav, J., & Yadav, R. (2018). *Challenges of implementing library management system in academic libraries.* International Journal of Research in Library Science, 4(2), 96-101.

Zhang, J., Yu, Y., & Hu, L. (2017). *Diffusion of innovations theory in health informatics: A systematic literature review.* Journal of Medical Systems, 41(8), 129.

Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., & Yan, S. (2017). *Challenges and strategies for libraries in the big data era*. Library Management, 38(4/5), 201-212